

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 86-24

IN RE: NOTICE TO COUNSEL RE; :
CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT :
FEE REDUCTION PURSUANT :
TO GRAMM-RUDMAN-HOLLINGS :
.....

FILED BY: *[Signature]*
1986 JUN 13 PM 4:34
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

In order to meet the requirements of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Reduction Act of 1985, otherwise known as the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act, the Judicial Conference of the United States has approved a directive issued by the Administrative Office of the United States Courts setting forth certain budget reductions. One of these reductions is the reduction of the hourly fees charged for services rendered by attorneys appointed under the provisions of the Criminal Justice Act. These reductions are applicable to those appointments made after March 13, 1986. Therefore, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that all vouchers submitted to the court for approval by those attorneys who were appointed after March 13, 1986 be reduced by ten percent (10%). This ten percent (10%) reduction does not apply to reasonable expenses incurred by Court-appointed counsel or to vouchers submitted by persons providing investigative, expert and other services. The ten percent reduction will be made by the United States District Judge or Magistrate upon submission of the CJA-20 voucher for final approval. It is further

ORDERED that the attached Notice of CJA Fee Reduction be completed whenever a United States District Judge Or Magistrate reduces the submitted fee pursuant to the above Act. The use of this form will notify counsel that the Court had no alternative but to comply with the Act.

This Administrative Order shall remain in effect until such time as the Congress of the United States augments the Act.

DONE and ORDERED in Chambers, at Miami, Florida this 13th day of June, 1986.

James Lawrence King

Chief United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :
 :
 Plaintiff :
 :
VS. :
 :
 :
 Defendant :

 :

**NOTICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT
FEE REDUCTION**

Pursuant to a Directive issued by the Administrative Office of the United States setting forth certain budget reductions, please be advised that the Court is mandated to reduce the fees for services rendered by ten (10%) percent. Therefore, your voucher dated _____ seeking attorneys fees in the amount of \$ _____ has been reduced by ten (10%) percent to \$ _____. Counsel should Note that this reduction did not apply to reasonable expenses incurred by counsel.

This notice is being served on counsel pursuant to Administrative Order No: _____ issued June _____, 1986.

Dated in chambers at Miami, in the Southern District of Florida, this _____ day of _____, 1986.

United States District Judge

cc:

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

In order to meet the requirements of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Reduction Act of 1985, otherwise known as the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act, the Judicial Conference of the United States has approved a directive issued by the Administrative Office of the United States Courts setting forth certain budget reductions. One of these reductions is the reduction of fees charged for services rendered by attorneys appointed under the provisions of the Criminal Justice Act (CJA) after March 13, 1986. This new rate structure will apply to services rendered on or after March 31, 1986. The Judicial Conference has not yet determined whether it will be necessary to extend this directive into the next fiscal year.

All vouchers submitted to the Court for approval by those attorneys who were appointed after March 13, 1986, must be reduced by ten percent (10%). This ten percent (10%) reduction does not apply to reasonable expenses incurred by Court-appointed counsel or to vouchers submitted by persons providing investigative, expert and other services. The ten percent reduction will be made by the District Judge or Magistrate upon submission of the CJA 20 Voucher for final approval.

The Court regrets this reduction; however, it is mandated by the Judicial Conference to comply with the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act.

Dated at Miami, Florida, this 21st day of May, 1986.

FOR THE COURT


ROBERT M. MARCH, CLERK